

REVIEW FORM

Give a score of 1-5 to the following questions for evaluation.

(1 = Poor, 2 = Below average, 3 = Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent)

PAPER TITLE:					
Criteria	Evaluation				
	1	2	3	4	5
1. The relevance of the research problem for the discipline.					
2. Subject selection and originality.					
3. The title is clear and reflects the article.					
4. Abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.					
5. Introduction (research aims and contribution, relevant literature, etc.)					
6. Conceptual quality (framework, theory, hypotheses, etc.)					
7. Methodological quality (design, sample, measurement, method, etc.)					
8. Results (novelty, interpretation, discussion flow, etc.)					
9. Discussion (quality and novelty of conclusions, suggestions, etc.)					
10. The expressions in the article are correct.					
11. Supplements (table, pictures, and photographs) are necessary and clear					
12. The citation of the references in the article matches correctly with the list of references.					
13. Presentation is orderly, clear, and follows Standards of scientific writing.					
14. The English of the manuscript is proper including no misspelled and proper grammar.					
15. The originality of the work and its contribution to the field.					

Overall Evaluation				
Acceptable for publication without changes	Acceptable for publications after minor revisions	Acceptable for publication after major revisions	Not acceptable for publication, but author(s) should be encouraged to resubmit	Reject

Comments to the Author

Comments to the Editor

Reviewer: